Frontoparietal contributions to visual working memory precision

Ainsley Temudo, Amber Nomani, & Kartik K. Sreenivasan

New York University Abu Dhabi, Division of Science and Mathematics

جامعة نيويورك أبوظبي
NYU | ABU DHABI

nyuad.nyu.edu/sreenivasan-lab

Background

- Constraints on visual working memory (VWM) limit not only the quantity, but the quality (i.e., precision) of items held in memory[1].

- VWM is thought to rely on top-down signals from frontoparietal cortex[2].

  Does activity in frontoparietal regions modulate the precision of VWM representations?

- A challenge to answering this question is that traditional VWM tasks conflate precision and accuracy.

Approach: Use a novel task[3] that allows us to estimate trial-wise VWM precision and examine how frontoparietal activity varies with VWM precision.

Trial-wise estimation of VWM precision

- 25 subjects

- BOLD signal measured during delay period

- Rather than 1 report per trial, subjects made 6 reports or *"bets"* on the target direction on a given trial

- Spread of bets (bet width) used as a proxy for trial-wise VWM precision

Highlights

Persistent delay period activity was primarily observed in frontoparietal regions, while evidence for VWM storage was evident mainly in visual cortex.

Activation in regions exhibiting delay period activity predicted trial-wise behavioral measures of VWM precision.

These result indicate that activity in frontoparietal cortex is related to the precision of VWM representations.

More information in multiple reports?

The average of 6 bets was more accurate (lower error) than the 1st bet or the average of the 6 bets' errors. Bet width varied within and across participants.

## Overlap of storage- and delay-related activity in parietal cortex

Consistent with previous results[4,5], frontoparietal areas exhibited delay activity, while parietal and visual regions showed evidence of VWM storage.

Which regions modulate VWM precision?

1. Wholebrain approach

Trial-wise behavioral estimates of precision (bet width) were correlated with trial-wise estimates of delay magnitude in a whole brain across-subject correlation analysis[6].

A region in left posterior parietal cortex demonstrated a significant negative relationship between bet width and delay period activity.

2. Region-of-interest approach

We examined the relationship between bet width and delay magnitude in ROIs that exhibited significant delay activity.

The majority of ROIs that had significant delay period activity exhibited activity that was negatively correlated with bet width.

References

[1] Bays, Catalao & Husain (2009). The precision of visual working memory is set by allocation of a shared resource. Journal of vision, 9(10), 7.1–11.
[2] Sreenivasan, Curtis & D'Esposito (2014). Revisiting the role of persistent neural activity during working memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 18(2), 82–89.
[3] Fougnie, Kanabar, Brady & Alvarez (2015). Using a betting game to directly reveal the rich nature of visual working memories. In Journal of Vision, 15(12), 1290.
[4] Riggall & Postle (2012). The relationship between working memory storage and elevated activity as measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging. The Journal of Neuroscience, 32(38), 12990–12998.
[5] Christophel, Hebart & Haynes (2012). Decoding the contents of visual short-term memory from human visual and parietal cortex. The Journal of Neuroscience, 32(38), 12983–12989.
[6] Zhou, Li, Zhou, Li & Cui (2018). Item-Wise Interindividual Brain-Behavior Correlation in Task Neuroimaging Analysis. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 12, 817.