Lists with and without syntax: Neural correlates of syntactic structure

جامعة نيويورك أبوظبي **VIV ABUDHABI**

FINTRODUCTION

- A fundamental challenge for the neurobiology of syntax is de-confounding syntax from semantics
- Our little trick: embedding the same noun lists in longer lists (without structure) and in sentences (with structure)

List-in-list

forks, pen, toilet, rodeo, lamps, dolls, guitars... same (lack of) conceptual different structure

List-in-sentence The eccentic man hoarded lamps, dolls, guitars...

Embedded list items were matched in word meaning and local semantic composition (e.g. '*lamps*' and '*dolls*' don't form a phrase)

QUESTION

With these robust modulators of brain activity controlled, will correlates of purely structural processing emerge?

METHODS

- 16 participants read stimuli word-by-word
- Memory probe task at end of each trial
- KIT 208 channel MEG system
- Varied word association (cosine similarity) among content word vectors) among words 1-7

		Words 5-7	
List-in-list Low Assoc	forks pen toilet rodeo	lamps dolls guitars	wood symbols straps
List-in-sent Low Assoc	The eccentric man hoarded	lamps dolls guitars	watches and shoes.
List-in-list High Assoc	theater graves drums mulch	pianos violins guitars	crates knuckle cocoa
List-in-sent High Assoc	The music store sells	pianos violins guitars	drums and clarinets.

ANALYSIS

- (generalised) linear mixed models on reaction times and accuracy
 - \rightarrow structure reduced RTs ($\chi^2 = 44.73^{***}$) & improved accuracy ($\chi^2 = 20.24^{***}$)

Cluster-based permutation tests^[1] on regions of interest activity across words 5-7 (collapsed)

 \rightarrow 2×2×3 (structure by association by position) repeated-measures analysis of variance at each time sample

Ryan Law and Liina Pylkkänen New York University and New York University Abu Dhabi

NEURAL EFFECTS OF STRUCTURE



Time relative to word onset (sec

parietal junction word onset



CONCLUSIONS

- time points
- semantic composition to activity associated with structure
- temporoparietal junction with a (roughly) N400 timing \rightarrow but activity was higher for more associative items^[c.f. 2]
- Activity increased for word 5 but not words 6 & 7 in general. \rightarrow progressed^[3,4]

References ▶ [1] Maris & Oostenveld (2007); [2] Kutas & Federmeier (2011); [3] Pallier, Devauchelle, & Supported by NYU Abu Dhabi Institute Grant G1001 Dehaene (2011); **[4]** Fedorenko et al. (2016)

ryan.law@nyu.edu twitter: @rmc_law

The presence of structure is reflected on-line in the left posterior temporal, inferior frontal, and anterior temporal cortices at different

We are able to rule out contributions from word meaning and local

Associative based semantic relationships are reflected in the left

contra studies that showed activity increased as sentences