

Boundary Conditions for the Positive–Skew Preference in Risky Decision Making

Kendra L. Seaman¹, Sade J. Abiodun² ¹Center for Vital Longevity, University of Texas at Dallas, ²Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Duke University

Introduction

- **Positively-skewed gambles** involve large but unlikely gains coupled with a small but likely losses.
- Compared to other equivalent gambles, people:
 - prefer positively-skewed gambles^{1,2}
 - display positive arousal¹ and greater activation in the Nacc.^{1,2}
- Animal research suggests greater preference for more stronglyskewed gambles.³
- We varied the degree of skewness from *weak* to *strong* to determine if this affects positive skew preference.

Methods

Participants

- Online study, N = 209, Ages 22 85
- No psychiatric/neurological illness or head injury.

Skewed Gambling Task

9 certain vs. risky choices \bullet



EV varied between participants. \bullet

Post-Task Strategy Questions³

- 1: "Strongly disagree" to 5: "Strongly Agree"
- Affective-Based Strategy: "I solved the task on a gut level."
- Deliberative-Based Strategy: "I tried to solve the task mathematically."

Cognitive Abilities

- Expanded 15-Item Numeracy Scale⁵
- Graph Literacy Scale⁶

Real-World Decision Making

- 1:"Not at all able to resist" to 7: "Very able to resist" •
- *Pressure resistant:* "How able are you to resist high-pressure sales tactics when buying investments?"

OSF page: <u>https://osf.io/d56b2/</u> Correspondence to: kendra.seaman@utdallas.edu







• Greater **affective strategy** predicts higher positive skew preference.

Conclusions

- Greater preference for *moderately* and *strongly* positivelyskewed gambles.
- Positive-skew preference appears to be driven by: affective strategies instead of deliberative reasoning cognitive abilities instead of affective state

- confidence instead of experience.

UT DALLAS



CENTER VITAL LONGEVITY THE SCIENCE OF THE AGING MIND

Results

More likely to accept moderately- and stronglyskewed gambles over certain outcomes.

- This was moderated by valence and magnitude.
- Trend does not hold for EV = -\$5.00 and +\$5.00.
- Unlike prior studies, age was not a significant predictor of skewed gamble acceptance.





• Greater cognitive ability predicts higher positive skew preference.

• Greater pressure resistance predicts lower positive skew preference.

References

¹Wu et al 2011 ²Seaman et al 2017 ³Strait & Hayden 2013 ⁴Figner et al 2009 ⁵Peters et al 2007

Supported in part by NIH grants T32-AG000029 and Duke Center for the Study of Aging and Human Development Maddox Award.





