

Abstract

Thirty-nine undergraduates used a sevenpoint rating scale to assess the perceived beauty of the seven frieze pattern types. Textures were preferred more than motifs as were patterns with greater symmetries.

Introduction

Frieze patterns are found throughout human art history. They appear in architectural designs and in ornamental tiling. A frieze is defined as a design on a two-dimensional surface that is repetitive in one direction (Grunbaum & Shephard, 1987). The current study investigates the perceived beauty of each of the seven frieze types using various single object motifs as well as textures. We hypothesize that friezes with a greater number of symmetries will be rated as more beautiful based on previous work showing a preference for complexity in random texture patterns (Friedenberg & Liby, 2016).

Method

- Participants included 18 females and 24 males. Mean age was 18.92 years.
- There were 7 frieze types with a comma, flag, and filled texture as elements.
- Stimuli were presented at a standard viewing distance of 48 cm.
- These 21 stimuli were presented in 10 blocks. Presentation order was randomized.
- Participants rated the beauty of each frieze on a Likert scale of 1 to 7.

The Aesthetics of Frieze Patterns: A Preference for Emergent Features Preston Martin, Naomi Uy, Mackenzie Kvapil, Jay Friedenberg Ph.D 1853 Psychology Department, Manhattan College MANHATTAN

	Comma	Texture	Flag	Resu
Τ	,,,,,,,,,	ى بايد بايد بايد بايد بايد بايد بايد بايد		• A
TV) () () () (ڮ؆ڮڮ؆ؾڮڮڮ؆ؾڮڮڮڗٵڮڮڮ؆ ٳڡڕڣٷڮٵڮڮڮ؆ؾڮڮڮڗٵڮڮڮڕٵ		yi C
TG	, , , , , , , , ,	LEX LEX LEX Jerg Jerg Jerg Jerg Jerg Jerg NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		p T 39
TR	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	222 222 222 222 229 229 229 209 209 209 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		• T hi • T
THG	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	오 지정 지정 지정 지정 지정 지정 지정 지정 우리에 관계에 관계에 관계에 관계에 관계에 가지 않는 것 이 지하지 않고 지하지 않고 지하지 않고 지하지 않고 지하지?		lo • T w
TRVG	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ԱՐԱՅԱՆՆ ԱՐԱՅԱՆՆ ԹմիցԴով ԲմիցԴով Իստեվերի Իստեվերի Իստեվերի Իստեվերի Իստեների Իստեների		th th
TRHVG	> < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < >	ᇆᇧᅇᅸᇊᆊᇆᅇᇆᇄᇆᅇᇆᇄᇆᅇᇆᇧ ᅜᅆᆑᆋᅜᅆᆑᆋᅜᅆᆑᆋᅜᅆᆥ ᆋᆋᆋᆋᆋᆋᆋ ᅚᆞᅑᅕᇧᅚᆞᅑᅕᇧᅚᆞᅑᅕᇧᅚᆞᅑᅕᇧ		Cono



T = Translation, TV = Translation & Vertical Mirrors, TG = Translation & Glide Reflection, TR = Translation & 180 Degree Rotation, THG = Translation, Horizontal Mirrors, & Glide Reflection, TRVG = Translation, Reflection, Vertical Mirrors, & Glide Reflection, TRHVG = Translation, Reflection, Horizontal Mirrors, Vertical Mirrors, and Glide Reflection

clusion

References

Grunbaum, B. & Shepherd, G. C. (1987). Tilings and Patterns. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York. Friedenberg, J., & Liby, B. (2016). Perceived beauty of random texture patterns: A preference for complexity. Acta Psychologica, 168, 41-49.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2016.04.007

two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) ielded a main effect for Motif (Flag, Comma, Texture), F(2,117) = 17.6975,<.000, and Frieze Type (T, TR, TV, TG, [HG, TRVG, and TRHVG), F (6,273) = 39.8646, p<.0001. [RHVG (*M*= 51.80, *SE*=0.913) was rated the ighest. (M=34.340, SE=0.915) was rated the owest. The texture motif (*M*=44.429, *SE*=0.599)

COLLEGE

vas rated significantly more beautiful than he comma motif (*M*= 40.215, *SE*=0.603), or he flag motif (M=39.85, SE= 0.605).

There is a general complexity preference for friezes with the greatest variety of symmetries.

• There is also a preference for filled patterns not separated by spaces.

• Filled patterns are more likely to produce emergent features where smaller parts group together to create larger ones.