

Reinstatement of temporal context observed with human scalp EEG during successful episodic memory retrieval



2. Methods

- 1) Thirteen subjects underwent simultaneous EEG/MEG recordings.
- 2) EEG signals were decomposed into timefrequency bins that cover a 1000 ms window after presentation of the probe and a frequency range of 1-50 Hz.
- 3) For each time-frequency bin, a feature vector of EEG amplitudes across 64 channels was used to compute the similarity scores.
- 4) Source current density was computed using combined EEG/MEG beamformer.



Wei Tang¹, Zoran Tiganj¹, Hesheng Liu², Marc W. Howard³ ¹Department of Computer Science, Indiana University Bloomington; ²Department of Neuroscience, Medical University of South Carolina; ³Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Boston University

> context [1]. neural



3. Results

- order.
- significant similarity scores.
- versus Hit rates.
- suggesting non-focal sources.

Acronyms: EEG electroencephalography MEG magnetoencephalography **CR** correct rejection

A. EEG signals in the retrieval session showed the highest similarity (averaged over all time-frequency bins) between items that were immediate and second-order neighbors with respect to the encoding

B. Contiguity effect after excluding time-frequency bins with non-

C. The lag-1 similarity was significantly correlated with the ratio of CR

D. Time-frequency bins contributing the most to lag-1 & lag-2 similarity. The highest similarity scores were found in the gamma frequency within 200 ms from stimulus onset (white boundary).

E. The Hit-CR difference in the gamma frequency from the 0-200 ms time window showed a distributed pattern across electrodes,

F. Source-localized gamma activity showed the highest Hit-CR contrast around 160 ms in the left posterior parietal cortex.



MUSC

BOSTON

VERSITY

4. Conclusion

Items encoded in temporal close proximity elicit similar neural activity during retrieval. This mechanism can be captured by scalp EEG. These results open the door to non-invasive studies of neural JBIT mechanisms that support episodic memory.

5. References

[1] Howard & Kahana (2002) J Math Psychol.

- [2] Manning et al. (2011) *PNAS*.
- [3] Yaffe et al. (2014) *PNAS*.
- [4] Folkerts et al. (2018) J Neurosci.
- [5] Lohnas et al. (2018) *PNAS*.