

# Interleaved Training Improves Category Learning by Increasing Perceptual Similarity of Within-Category Exemplars

## BACKGROUND

Category learning paradigms using naturalistic stimuli have found that interleaving exemplars across categories during training (rather than blocking by category) leads to superior category learning<sup>1,2,3</sup>.

Behavioral paradigms have suggested that the interleaving benefit is driven by increased between-category discrimination and differentiation<sup>2,3</sup>.

Using a cognitive model that infers feature representations from similarity judgments, referred to as psychological embedding, we quantified how learning-related changes in perceptual similarity differ by training sequence<sup>4,5,6</sup>.

• We predict learning category groupings will reduce variance among same-category exemplars.

• We predict the interleaving benefit is driven by increasing distance **between categories**, which enhances category discriminability.

## METHOD

**Subjects:** Recruited via Amazon Mechanical Turk (N=101) and UT Psych pool (N=246) **Stimuli:** Landscape paintings from 6 artists (categories) × 12 unique paintings per artist **Design:** Learning sequence (blocked vs. interleaved) manipulated between-subjects Learning Sequences: study blocked by category, or interleaved across categories

Blocked Sequence Interleaved Sequence





Hawkins

**Similarity Judgments:** Pick images most visually similar to center (query) image **Learning Phase:** Study 36 painting+location pairs to learn artist styles **Generalization Test:** Categorize 6 new paintings per artist (36 trials) **Detailed Recognition Test:** For half of studied paintings, identify location **Memory Test:** For half of studied locations, identify artist paired w/ that location



Sharon M. Noh<sup>1\*</sup>, Brett D. Roads<sup>2\*</sup>, Bradley C. Love<sup>2</sup>, & Alison R. Preston<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The University of Texas at Austin, <sup>2</sup>University College London

- Schlorf
- Pick 1 most similar to center Memory **Memory Test**

blocking improves detailed recognition



Which image is more similar to the center image?





Items judged as more visually similar are represented as being closer together in the embedding space.



# Interleaving benefits generalization and general recognition,



Pre-Learning Embedding (compressed)

### category exempla **k** category mean > 1 standard deviation from category mean







Post-Learning

### REFERENCES

- 1. Kornell, N., & Bjork, R. A. (2008). *Psychological Science*, 19(6)
- 2. Kang, S. H., & Pashler, H. (2012). Applied Cognitive Psychology, 26(1).
- 4. Roads, B. D., & Mozer, M.C. (2019). *Behavior Research Methods*, 51.

- exemplar-based neural networks.

Acknowledgments and funding sources: This work was supported by the NIMH (R01MH100121 to ARP), NSF GRFP to SMN, the NINDS (F31NS105353 to SMN), NIH (1P01HD080679 to BCL), Wellcome Trust Investi tor Award (WT106931MA to BCL), and Royal Society Wolfson Fellowship (183029 to BCL).



• Interleaving is beneficial for **improving general knowledge**, whereas blocking is better for **improving specificity** and learning of details.

• Category learning can change perception such that same-category exemplars appear more visually similar.

• Psychological embeddings reveal that the interleaving benefit is driven by increasing between-category distance and discriminability.

3. Birnbaum, M. S., Kornell, N., Bjork, E. L., & Bjork, R. A. (2013). *Cognition*, 41(3).

. Roads, B. D., Xu, B., Robinson, J. K., & Tanaka, J. W. (2018). Cognitive Research: Principles and Implication 6. Roads, B. D., & Mozer, M.C. (under revision). Predicting the difficulty of human category learning using



Post-Learning Post-Learning