Motivations for Alcohol and Marijuana Use Rachel Seamans and Mark Rivardo



Method



Abstract

Motives for alcohol and marijuana use (e.g. social, coping) are similar for both substances. This study examined differences in motives between participants who use both alcohol and marijuana and those who use only alcohol. 347 participants were recruited from Amazon Mechanical Turk and undergraduate classes. They completed the Drinking Motives Questionnaire, the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test, and demographic items. Differences in magnitude of motivation to use varied across motives and by substance. Results indicated that participants who use both substances reported higher motivations for use overall than those who use only alcohol. This study also investigated adding an additional motivational dimension: expansion. Motivation for expansion motives was low for alcohol use supporting the theory that expansion motives are more closely related to marijuana use. These findings expand on existing substance use literature and suggests implications for future research such as investigating the relationship between expansion motives and other substances.

Keywords: alcohol, marijuana, substance use, motivations, motives

Introduction

Commonly Used Substances

- Marijuana (Phillips, Lalonde, Phillips, & Schneider, 2017; Ter Bogt & Engels, 2005)
- Alcohol (AddictionCenter, 2019)

Motivational Model of Alcohol Use (Cooper, 1994)

- Type of reinforcement (positive, negative) and (internal, negative)
 - Social (positive, external)
 - Enhancement (positive, internal)
 - Conformity (negative, external)
 - Coping (negative, internal)
- Used to crate the Drinking Motives Questionnaire Revised (DMQ-R; Cooper, 1994)

Motives for Use (Previous Studies)

- The DMQ-R has been used for a multitude of substances
 - Marijuana (Bonn-Miller, Zvolensky, & Berstein, 2007)
 - MDMA (Ter Bogt & Engels, 2005)
 - Alcohol (Bentea, 2014; Cooper, 1994)
- Some researchers proposed a fifth motivational dimension known as expansion for drug use (Newcomb, Chou, Bentler, & Huba, 1998; Simons, Correia, Carey, & Borsari, 1998)

How This Study Differs

- Investigates differences in motives to use between groups of individuals who use both alcohol and marijuana and those who use only alcohol
- Investigates differences in motives to use alcohol and marijuana among individuals who use both substances
- Looks at expansion motives as a fifth motivational dimension

Participants

Participants were recruited from Amazon Mechanical undergraduate students at a small, Catholic, liberal ar college in Southwestern Pennsylvania

- Initial sample was 378, but data for 31 part deleted for failure to pass attention check outside the restricted age range
 - Age range restricted to 18-23
- 147 Amazon Mechanical Turk, 200 undergrad
- 347 between the ages of 18 and 23 (M = 20.
- 115 male, 232 female, no participants repo
- 82.00 % White/Caucasian, 7.20% Hispanic/L Black/African American, 1.70% Native Amer Indian, 5.20% Asian/Pacific Islander, 0.30%
- 153 both alcohol and marijuana, 131 only a marijuana
 - Of the 153 who use both, 119 use anoth
 - Of those 119, over 50% (68) use tob

Materials and Procedure

- Informed consent
- 2. The Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement (ASSIST; World Health Organization, 2002)
 - 8 item self-report measure
 - Assessed over 9 substances _
- 3. Drinking Motives Questionnaire-Revised (DMQ-R; C
 - 20 item self-report measure
 - Additional 5 questions to assess expansion r al., 1998; Simons et al., 1998)
 - Taken twice: Once for alcohol use, once for
 - Questions randomized within each versi
- 4. Demographics
- 5. Compensation
 - 85 cents in United States Dollars (Amazon M
 - Extra credit if the professor offered (unders
- 6. Debriefing
 - Directed to resources for mental health and problems if needed



Motives For Use

Figure 1. Motivation by Substance Use and Motives for Use. Error bars represent 95% Confidence Intervals.

Department of Psychological Science, Saint Vincent College

	Results	
	Alcohol Only Users vs. Alcohol and Marijuana Users	Support for Previous Findir
Turk and	– 2x5 mixed-factorial ANOVA	Social motives are
rts and sciences	Between-subject factor of substance (use both alcohol and marijuana, use only alcohol)	(Bentea, 2014; Bo • Highest motiv
ticipants were questions and being	Within-subject factor of motive (coping, conformity, social, enhancement, expansion)	motivesFor parti
	- Main Effect of Substance $F(1, 221) = 36.44$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .14$	• For participal
duate students .48, SD = 3.24)	motivations for use $(M = 11.54, SD = 4.42)$ than those who use only alcohol $(M = 8.86, SD = 4.94)$	marijuana use motivation fo
orted other genders	- Main Effect of Motive $F(3.24, 715.82) = 151.83, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = 41$	Support for Expansion as a
rican/American	• Social ($M = 13.94$ SD = 5.14) Enhancement motives ($M =$	• Support adding ex
"other"	12.36, $SD = 4.94$), and Coping motives ($M = 9.32$, $SD = 4.76$) differed from each other and from the other two motives	when investigatin Simons et al., 199
her substance	(all p's < .001) • Conformity (M = 7.71, SD = 3.85) and expansion (M = 7.76,	Higher motivation alcohol use amon
bacco	SD = 3.72) motives were lowest and did not differ from each other ($p = .89$)	Entre Descend
	 Interaction Between Motive and Substance F(3.24, 715.82) = 	Future Research
	9.64, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .042$	Investigate correl
	See Figure 1	use and motives
t Screening Test	Alcohol Use vs. Marijuana Use (For Those Who Use Both Substances)	Investigate expan
	 2x5 repeated measures ANOVA 	
	Within-subject factors of:	
	– Substance (alcohol, marijuana)	
Cooper, 1994)	 Motive (coping, conformity, social, enhancement, 	AddictionCenter. (2019). He
	expansion) – No Main Effect of Substance $F(1, 123, 00) = 1.09$, $p = -30$	Retrieved from
motives (Newcomb et	• Alcohol ($M = 11.54$, $SD = 3.55$)	https://owl.purdue.ec
	• Marijuana ($M = 11.87$, $SD = 4.18$)	Bentea, C.C. (2014). Motiva
r marijuana use	- Main Effect of Motive $F(3.50, 430.47) = 73.74, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .38$	educational strategies
10N	 All five motives were significantly different from each other (p < .001), except for social and enhancement (p = .54): 	Behavioral Sciences, 1 Bonn-Miller, M. O., Zvolensk
	- Social ($M = 14.04$, $SD = 4.68$)	motives: Concurrent re
	- Enhancement ($M = 14.23$, $SD = 4.40$)	Behaviors, 32, 49-62,
Mechanical Turk)	- Coping $(M = 11.57, SD = 5.24)$	Cooper, M. L. (1994). Motiva
graduate students)	- Expansion ($M = 9.74$, SD = 4.33)	Development and valid
	- Conformity ($M = 8.97, SD = 3.27$) - Interaction Between Motive and Substance $F(3.62, 445.09) =$	Assessment, 6, 11/-12 Newcomb, M. D., Chou, C.,
d substance use	46.69, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .28$	motivations for drug u
	See Figure 2	gender differences and Counseling Psychology
		Phillips, K. T., Lalonde, T. L.
	20	Marijuana use and asso
Substance Use	Substance	American Journal on A doi:10 1111/ajad 1264
Alcohol and marijuana	Alcohol use	Simons, J., Correia, C. J., C
		a five-factor marijuan
		problems, and alcohol 45(3), 265-273.
т		Ter Bogt, T. F., & Engels, R.
		motives for and effect
		and Misuse, 40, 1479-1 World Health Organization
	5	Involvement Screening





Discussion

ngs

e common for both alcohol and marijuana use onn-Miller et al., 2007; Simmons et al., 2011) vation for alcohol use was reported for social

cipants who use both alcohol and marijuana cipants who use only alcohol

nts who use both substances, social motives for se received the second highest reported or use (tied with coping motives)

Fifth Dimensional Motive

xpansion motives as a fifth motivational motive ng marijuana use (Newcomb et al., 1998; 98).

n for expansion motives for marijuana use than g participants who use both substances

lation between problematic use and motives lation between life experiences/predictors of

ision motives for other substances

References

re are the 10 most common addictions.

du/owl/research and citation/apa style/apa f guide/reference_list_electronic_sources.html tions for alcohol use in late adolescents and for intervention. Procedia-Social and 28, 186-191.

y, M. J., & Bernstein, A. (2007). Marijuana use elations to frequency of past 30-day use and ong young adult marijuana smokers. Addictive doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2006.03.018

ations for alcohol use among adolescents: dation of a four-factor model. *Psychological* 8. doi:10.1037/1040-3590.6.2.117

Bentler, P. M., & Huba, G. J. (1988). Cognitive use among adolescents: Longitudinal tests of d predictors of change in drug use. Journal of , 35, 426-438.

, Phillips, M. M., & Schneider, M. M. (2017). ociated motive in Colorado university students. Addictions, 26, 830-837.

Carey, K. B., & Borsari, B. E. (1998). Validating a motives measure: Relations with use, motives. Journal of Counseling Psychology,

C. (2005). "Partying" hard: Party style, ts of MDMA use at rave parties. Substance Use 1502. doi:10.1081/JA-200066822

(2002). The Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Test.

This project has been funded by an A.J. Palumbo Student Research Grant