Individual differences in personality traits and meta-traits are associated with features of intrinsic brain networks

Matthew Moore¹, Grace J. Goodwin¹, Evan Anderson¹, Chris Zwilling¹, Tanveer Talukdar¹, Charles H. Hillman², Neal J. Cohen¹, Arthur F. Kramer², & Aron K. Barbey¹ ¹Beckman Institute for Advanced Science & Technology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, ²Northeastern University

Introduction

- Despite recent progress targeting specific personality traits and the function of particular brain regions, it remains unclear what features of individual differences might be associated with large-scale intrinsic networks observed in resting state functional connectivity.
- While much of the personality neuroscience research has focused on the structural and functional correlates of the Big Five traits, higher-order personality dimensions, or meta-traits, have received little attention.
- Although nascent research has investigated the functional organization of intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs) underlying personality, research has yet to examine whether between-network properties are linked to traits.
- We sought to build upon existing research by examining whether the Big Two (i.e., Stability and Plasticity) and Big Five personality traits are associated with the mean participation coefficient (MPC) of ICN pairs.

Method

Participants: 289 healthy adults (18–43 years old, 148 females). Personality Measures:

- 44-item Big Five Inventory⁽¹⁾.
- Items were averaged for each personality domain (Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism, Openness).
- Two meta-traits (i.e., Plasticity and Stability) were obtained from the Big Five using confirmatory factor analysis⁽²⁾.

MRI Acquisition: A T1-weighted structural image was acquired for each participant (0.9 mm isotropic, TR = 1900 ms, TI = 900 ms, TE = 2.32 ms, with GRAPPA and an acceleration factor of 2). The fMRI data were acquired using EPI sequence images (1.9 x 1.9 x 2.0 mm³ voxel size, 56 slices with 10% slice gap, TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = 240 mm, 90° flip angle, 10 min acquisition, or 300 volumes).



Network Analysis: The Big Two and Big Five were examined in relation to the MPC^{*(5)}; which measures how well distributed the links of a node are among modules.

$$P_i = 1 - \sum_{s=1}^{N_{\rm M}} \left(\frac{\kappa_{is}}{\kappa_i}\right)^2$$

Participation coefficient P_i of node *i*, where κ_{is} is the number of links of node *i* to nodes in module *s*, and k_i is the total degree of node *i*. The participation coefficient of a node is close to 1 if its links are uniformly distributed among all the modules and 0 if all its links are within its own module.

Local and Global Connectivity: Local and global connectivity measures were also computed at the level of regions of interest (ROIs). Based on the between-network results, we further examined the ROIs within the relevant networks to clarify which regions may contribute to the observed associations.



Mode of overlap with Yeo 7 network brain atlas⁴



Stability & MPC of Limbic-DMN

Stability was positively associated with the limbic-DMN MPC, and Agreeableness and Neuroticism showed **opposing** patterns of association with MPC between these networks.



ROI level: Global Connectivity

Global connectivity of ROIs within the **limbic** and **DMN** points to regional specificity linked to Conscientiousness.

Conscientiousness & DMN (+)



Discussion & Future Directions

•The positive association between **Stability** and **limbic-DMN** MPC suggests that participants high in Stability had more between-module connections. The opposing patterns of Agreeableness and **Neuroticism** are in line with the **Stability** pattern, and the association with **Openness** also highlights the importance of these networks in relation to traits contributing to **Plasticity**. These results point to relative integration of these networks, consistent with the idea that individuals high in **Stability** might have greater coordination among networks involved in emotional and self-referential processing⁽⁶⁾.

•The negative association between Extraversion and frontoparietal-motor MPC suggests that participants high in Extraversion had more within-module connections. This result is consistent with the idea that these networks are engaged during coordinating behavior in a rapid, accurate, and flexible goal-driven manner⁽⁷⁾, which might be facilitated by relative segregation of modules in these networks.

•The ROI-level results highlight regional specificity within the networks that might contribute to the MPC results, as well as effects that were not apparent at the level of between-network effects. For example, **Conscientiousness** was associated with global connectivity of ROIs within the **limbic** and **DMN**, and Plasticity was associated with global and local connectivity of ROIs within the FPN. These results point to the importance of specific regions within the networks and their connections across the brain.

•Overall, these results support the idea that there are distinct associations between personality and features of ICNs and may provide novel insights with valuable implications for understanding the interaction of these factors in healthy and clinical groups.

•Future research will examine the network-personality associations with specific cognitive abilities.



The model had sufficient fit to the data: $\chi^2(4) = 3.36$, p = .50, CFI = 1.00, RMSEA < .001; A = Agreeableness, C = Conscientiousness, N = Neuroticism, E = Extraversion, O = Openness; $*^{*}p < .01$, all others $*^{**}p < .001$.

Individuals high in **Stability** tend to have high levels of Agreeableness and Conscientiousness, and low levels of **Neuroticism**. Individuals high in **Plasticity** tend to have high levels of **Extraversion** and **Openness**⁽²⁾.



Conscientiousness & Limbic (+)





Global and local connectivity of ROIs within the frontoparietal and motor networks highlights regional specificity linked to **Plasticity** and **Extraversion**.

Plasticity & FPN (+)









References & Acknowledgments

References

1. John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), Handbook of Theorv and research (Vol. 2, pp. 102–138). New York: Guilford Press 2. DeYoung, C. G. (2006). Higher-order factors of the Big Five in a multi-informant sample. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 91(6), 1138. 3. Shen, X., Tokoglu, F., Papademetris, X., & Constable, R. T. (2013). Groupwise whole-brain parcellation from resting-state fMRI data for network node identification. Neurolmage, 82, 403-415.

4. Yeo, B. T. T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M., ... & Buckner, R. L. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex intrinsic functional connectivity. Journal of Neurophysiology, 106(3), 1125-1165 5. Guimerà, R., & Nunes Amaral, L. A. (2005). Functional cartography of complex metabolic networks. *Nature*, 433, 895–900. 6. Raichle, M. E. (2015). The brain's default mode network. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 38, 433-447. 7. Marek, S., & Dosenbach, N. U. (2018). The frontoparietal network: Function, electrophysiology, and importance of individual precision mapping. Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience, 20(2), 133.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by funds from the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA), via Contract 2014-13121700004 to the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (PI: Barbey), and was conducted in part at the Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC-BI). M.M. was supported by a Beckman Institute Post-Doctoral Fellowship.

ILLINOIS

Beckman Institute for Advanced Science & Technology

ROI level: Global Connectivity Extraversion & FPN (+)





Extraversion & Motor (+)





ROI level: Local Connectivity

Extraversion & FPN (+)





Extraversion & Motor (+)

