## Using Mobile EEG to Assess Brain Health and Performance Olave E. Krigolson

University |

**METHODS** 

Theoretical and Applied Neuroscience Laboratory



Centre for Biomedical Research, University of Victoria





plotted with 95% confidence intervals. Note, this data reflects the pooled average of electrodes TP9 and TP10. Also plotted is the frequency data across performance of the oddball task.

## **REGRESSION ANALYSIS**

We used multiple regression and constructed a model that combined all of the ERP and FFT features to predict perceived cognitive fatigue. Here we found that a model could be constructed that provided a considerably more accurate prediction of perceived cognitive fatigue, F(7,999) = 49,81; r = 0.510 [Model Components: N200A, frontal delta, P300A, posterior delta, posterior theta, N200A, N200L].

## MACHINE LEARNING

perceived cognitive fatigue score.

We also used machine learning classifiers to attempt to find a more accurate classification of perceived cognitive fatigue. Our input to the classifiers were the ERP and spectral features (e.g., N200 amplitude, frontal theta power) as opposed to the actual trial data (which we feel would be more accurate – this analysis is in progress). In any event, we did find that a **Cubic Support Vector Machine we were able to get a 90.9%** successful classification rate with cross validation.