



A Functional Neuroimaging Investigation of Moral Foundations Theory



Maria Khoudary^{1,2}, Eleanor Hanna⁵, Kevin O'Neill², Vijeth Iyengar⁶, Scott Clifford⁷, Roberto Cabeza^{2,3}, Felipe De Brigard^{1,2,3}, Walter Sinnott-Armstrong^{1,2,4}



¹Department of Philosophy, Duke University; ²Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Duke University; ³Duke Institute for Brain Sciences; ⁴Kenan Institute for Ethics, Duke University; ⁵Valassis Digital; ⁶U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; ⁷Department of Political Science, University of Houston



BACKGROUND

Moral Foundations Theory (MFT) posits that human morality is comprised of distinct psychological systems that are innate and elaborated upon via cultural learning.¹

The foundations—*harm (physical and emotional), fairness, loyalty, purity, authority, liberty*—can be organized into superordinate categories that emphasize the value of individuals (individualizing: *harm and fairness*) vs. the value of group unity (binding: *loyalty, purity, authority*).^{2,3}

We use functional neuroimaging to investigate whether neural activity during moral judgments reflects the structure of MFT.

STUDY DESIGN

27 healthy, right-handed adults (14 male; age=24.65 (4.21) years) judged the Moral Foundations Vignettes³ while in the scanner.

You see a teenager urinating in the wave pool at a crowded water park.

1 Not morally wrong 2 3 4 Extremely morally wrong

6000 ms

Index **Middle**
Odd **Even**

5

- 120 vignettes
- 15 per foundation
- Incidental encoding
- Even/odd active baseline between trials
- TR = 2000 ms

Jittered around 5000 ms

Recognition memory and confidence were tested after scanning.

You see a teenager urinating in the wave pool at a crowded park.

1 amusement 2 theme 3 water 4 new

Self-paced

Confident?



1 Not at all 2 Somewhat 3 Very 4 Extremely

Self-paced

Post-test surveys: IRI (trait-empathy levels), emotional reactions to vignettes (anger, amusement, sadness, contempt, disgust, fear).

References: Haidt, J., & Graham, J. (2007). When Morality Opposes Justice: Conservatives Have Moral Intuitions Liberals May Not Recognize. *Social Justice Research* (20), 98-116. 2. Graham, J., Haidt, J., & Nosek, B. A. (2009). Liberals and conservatives rely on different sets of moral foundations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 96(5), 1029-1046. 3. Iyer, R., Koleva, S., Graham, J., Ditto, P., & Haidt, J. (2012). Understanding libertarian morality: the psychological dispositions of self-identified libertarians. *PLoS One*, 7(8), e42366. 4. Clifford, S., Iyengar, V., Cabeza, R., & Sinnott-Armstrong, W. (2015). Moral foundations vignettes: a standardized stimulus database of scenarios based on moral foundations theory. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47(4), 1178-1198. Questions? Comments? email: maria.khoudary@duke.edu / twitter: @maria_khoudary

SPATIOTEMPORAL PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES



A non-rotated PLS analysis contrasting binding, individualizing, and liberty superordinate foundations failed to reach significance ($p < .519$). Another non-rotated analysis that included liberty with binding foundations also failed to reach significance ($p < .353$). A third non-rotated analysis contrasting social norms to all of the other foundations trended toward significance ($p < .059$).

Neurally-derived superordinate structure: emotion/empathy, binding, fairness, social norms



SUMMARY

Brain activity reflects neither the superordinate- nor foundation-level structure posited by MFT.

Neural data suggests restructuring superordinate categories with respect to emotional and empathic arousal, binding violations, fairness, and social norms. This superordinate structure results in better mixed model fits for moral judgments of and memory for moral transgressions.


Adding trait-empathy and emotional reactions to moral transgressions results in better mixed model fits for moral judgments and memory accuracy than just including the foundations.

BEHAVIOR



Superordinate Comparisons

Global intercept Traditional Superordinate Structure: Individualizing/Binding Foundations Neurally-derived Superordinate Structure: Emotion/Binding



Emotion & Empathy Comparisons

Global intercept Foundations + Emotions + Empathy (additive) Foundations Foundations * Emotions + Foundations * Empathy (interaction)

