



# A Functional Neuroimaging Investigation of Moral Foundations Theory



Maria Khoudary<sup>1,2</sup>, Eleanor Hanna<sup>5</sup>, Kevin O'Neill<sup>2</sup>, Vijeth Iyengar<sup>6</sup>, Scott Clifford<sup>7</sup>, Roberto Cabeza<sup>2,3</sup>, Felipe De Brigard<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Walter Sinnott-Armstrong<sup>1,2,4</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Philosophy, Duke University; <sup>2</sup>Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Duke University; <sup>3</sup>Duke Institute for Brain Sciences; <sup>4</sup>Kenan Institute for Ethics, Duke University; <sup>5</sup>Valassis Digital; <sup>6</sup>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; <sup>7</sup>Department of Political Science, University of Houston



## BACKGROUND

Moral Foundations Theory (MFT) posits that human morality is comprised of distinct psychological systems that are innate and elaborated upon via cultural learning.<sup>1</sup>

The foundations—*harm (physical and emotional), fairness, loyalty, purity, authority, liberty*—can be organized into superordinate categories that emphasize the value of individuals (individualizing: *harm and fairness*) vs. the value of group unity (binding: *loyalty, purity, authority*).<sup>2,3</sup>


We use functional neuroimaging to investigate whether neural activity during moral judgments reflects the structure of MFT.

## STUDY DESIGN

27 healthy, right-handed adults (14 male; age=24.65 (4.21) years) judged the Moral Foundations Vignettes<sup>3</sup> while in the scanner.



Recognition memory and confidence were tested after scanning.





Post-test surveys: IRI (trait-empathy levels), emotional reactions to vignettes (anger, amusement, sadness, contempt, disgust, fear).

**References:**  
 Haidt, J., & Graham, J. (2007). When Morality Opposes Justice: Conservatives Have Moral Intuitions Liberals May Not Recognize. *Social Justice Research* (20), 98-116. 2. Graham, J., Haidt, J., & Nosek, B. A. (2009). Liberals and conservatives rely on different sets of moral foundations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 96(5), 1029-1046. 3. Iyer, R., Koleva, S., Graham, J., Ditto, P., & Haidt, J. (2012). Understanding libertarian morality: the psychological dispositions of self-identified libertarians. *PLoS One*, 7(8), e42366. 4. Clifford, S., Iyengar, V., Cabeza, R., & Sinnott-Armstrong, W. (2015). Moral foundations vignettes: a standardized stimulus database of scenarios based on moral foundations theory. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47(4), 1178-1198.

**Questions? Comments?** email: [maria.khoudary@duke.edu](mailto:maria.khoudary@duke.edu) / twitter: [@maria\\_khoudary](https://twitter.com/maria_khoudary)

## SPATIOTEMPORAL PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES



A non-rotated PLS analysis contrasting binding, individualizing, and liberty superordinate foundations failed to reach significance ( $p < .519$ ). Another non-rotated analysis that included liberty with binding foundations also failed to reach significance ( $p < .353$ ). A third non-rotated analysis contrasting social norms to all of the other foundations trended toward significance ( $p < .059$ ).

**Neurally-derived superordinate structure: emotion/empathy, binding, fairness, social norms**



## SUMMARY

Brain activity reflects neither the superordinate- nor foundation-level structure posited by MFT.

Neural data suggests restructuring superordinate categories with respect to emotional and empathic arousal, binding violations, fairness, and social norms. This superordinate structure results in better mixed model fits for moral judgments of and memory for moral transgressions.


Adding trait-empathy and emotional reactions to moral transgressions results in better mixed model fits for moral judgments and memory accuracy than just including the foundations.

## BEHAVIOR



## Superordinate Comparisons

Global intercept  
 Foundations  
 Traditional Superordinate Structure: Individualizing/Binding  
 Neurally-derived Superordinate Structure: Emotion/Binding



## Emotion & Empathy Comparisons

Global intercept  
 Foundations  
 Foundations + Emotions + Empathy (additive)  
 Foundations \* Emotions + Foundations \* Empathy (interaction)

