

Background

- For college students, differences in life experiences may impact the type and severity of stressors, as well as access to coping strategies or resources (Garriott & Nisle, 2018; Quinn, Cornelius-White, MacGregor, & Uribe-Zarain, 2019).
- First-generation students were nearly 30 four times more likely (26% to 7%) to leave higher education after 15 the first year than their continuinggeneration peers (Engle & Tinto, 2008).

Percent of Students Who Left Higher Education After One Year 20 10

> First-generation Continuing-generation

- First-generation and continuing-generation college students differ in personal and social demands, as well as in their abilities, relationships, and resources in achieving goals, which in turn leads to differences in psychological well-being (PWB) (Quinn et al., 2019).
- Institutional supports and reflective coping explained the relation between stress and perceived academic goal progress for first-but not continuing-generation college students (Garriott & Nisle, 2018).

Present Study

- <u>Goal</u>: The present study seeks to examine differences between first- and continuing generation students in PWB, perceived stress, and coping strategies.
- Hypotheses:
 - 1. First-generation status will be negatively correlated with PWB.
 - 2. First-generation students will have higher levels of perceived stress than continuing-generation students.
 - 3. First-generation college students will be more likely to engage in maladaptive coping strategies.

Relationship Between First-Generation Status and Psychological Well-Being, Perceived Stress, and Coping Strategies Olivia R. Drake, Anne E. Hoover, Kathryn M. Westcott, PhD Juniata College Department of Psychology

First



Coping strategies: Few significant differences existed between first- and continuing generation college students, except for planning abilities and emotional support. Fig 1.



Figure 1. First- and continuing-generation college st differ in their planning abilities and emotional supp Students differed in that first-generation students te toward lower planning abilities (M=10.74) than thei continuing-generation peers (M=12.00, p=0.022). B. generation college students demonstrated slightly le levels of emotional support (M=10.10) than their pe (M=11.49, p=0.049). Error bars represent ± standard

Discussion and Future Direction

- Contrary to previous results, first- and continuing-gene students did not differ significantly in stress levels or PV
- It is possible that coping mechanisms and sources of su develop later in college, so analyses will be conducted year students alone to investigate PWB and coping stra earlier in the transition to college.
- An assessment of institutional support could lend insig these findings.
- Understanding differences in PWB and stress of firstgeneration students, as well as the most effective form support, will allow institutions to better assist these st

References

Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989). Assessing Coping Strategies: A Theoreticall Approach. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 56(2), 267–283

Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A Global Measure of Perceived Stress. Journal *Social Behavior, 24*(4), 385–296.

Garriott, P. O., & Nisle, S. (2018). Stress, Coping, and Perceived Academic Goal Progress in First-College Students: The Role of Institutional Supports. Journal of Diversity in Higher Education Quinn, D. E., Cornelius-White, J., MacGregor, C., & Uribe-Zarain, X. (2019). The success of first-ge college students in a trio student support services program: Application of the theory of m Questions in Education, 10(1), 44-64.



T
inuing- ration
tudents
port. A.
ended
ir E·
. First-
ower
eers d error.
u enoi.
S
eration
WB.
upport
on first-
ategies
ght into
ns of
udents
ly Based
of Health and
Generation
on, 11(4), 436-45 eneration
nargin. <i>Critical</i>