

Incidental encoding reveals the time-varying nature of post-error adjustments in cognitive processing

Introduction



Methods



1. Wessel, J. R. (2018). An adaptive orienting theory of error processing. *Psychophysiology,* 55(3), e13041. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13041 2. Jentzsch, I. & Dudschig, C. (2009). Why do we slow down after an error? Mechanisms underlying the effects of posterror slowing. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 62(2), 209-218. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470210802240655

Eva Gjorgieva¹ & Tobias Egner¹

¹Duke University

Results





To test for effects due to conflict elicited by incongruent stimuli, we compared memory for the target on post-incongruent vs postcongruent trials and found no differences.

To account for effects due to added exposure to the objects during post-error trials, we matched the RTs of the post-error trials by selecting the slowest quartile of post-correct trials. Still, we observed better target memory for post-error trials.

The flanker task showed classic congruency and post-error slowing effects.

No beneficial encoding effects were observed when stimuli were presented with a 300 ms RSI following the error. However, a post-error target enhancement (PETE) effect did emerge at 650 ms and grew further at the 1000 ms RSI.

In line with the adaptive orienting account, these findings suggest that making an error results in enhanced processing selective to the task stimulus, but that this adaptive process only emerges following an initial period of disrupted task processing. When the processing cascade is interrupted, adaptive adjustments are not observed.





Post-error memory enhancement is target-specific & time-dependent