



Saccadic and Pupillary Response as Biobehavioral Markers in a Perceptual Organization Task

Peter Gordon¹, Daniel Kurylo², Jean Ee Tang¹, Lingwei Ouyang¹, Anh Le¹, Cosku Arslanbogan¹, Jennifer Scheu¹, Courtney Windram¹, Christopher Riveria¹, Cindy Romero¹, Yanbin Niu¹, and Richard Waxman^{1,3}

¹ Teachers College, Columbia University ² Brooklyn College, CUNY, ³ Touro College

CITATION: Gordon, P., Kurylo, D., Tang, J. E., Ouyang, L., Le, A., Arslanbogan, C., Scheu, J., Windram, C., Riveria, C., Romero, C., Niu, Y., and Waxman, R. (*Presented at the Cognitive Neuroscience Society, Annual Meeting 2020*) Saccadic and Pupillary Response as Biobehavioral Markers in a Perceptual Organization Task.

E-MAIL: pgordon@tc.edu


Abstract

Visual form perception involves grouping elements that occur along the border of an object. Sometimes borders are well defined, and sometimes less so. The perceptual organization (PO) task (Kurylo et al., 2017) employs 20 x 20 dot arrays differentiated by Color, Gabor or Luminance properties. Elements show different degrees of organization along either a horizontal or vertical axis. Organization is defined as the percentage of elements that are arrayed along the main orientation (100%, 90%, 80%, 70%). In the PO task, perceptual thresholds are measured using psychophysical staircase procedures. The present study uses eye tracking and pupillometry to examine biobehavioral markers associated with perceptual processing in the PO task. In particular, we investigated whether pupil diameter --an indicator of processing load-is related to % organization levels within the PO task, and whether this correlates with behavioral performance in terms of RT and accuracy. We also examined whether saccades in the horizontal vs. vertical direction were correlated with task difficulty for Color, Gabor and Luminance respectively. We tested 60 participants on the PO task using Tobii and Gazepoint eye trackers. %organization level (100>90>80>70) was correlated with RT (p<.001) and accuracy (p<.001). Saccades were identified by change in x,y coordinates above the 80th percentile, which segregated saccades from normal eye jitter. We found that total horizontal saccades were correlated with % organization (p<.001), whereas vertical saccades were not. These data represent the first evidence of biobehavioral markers for perceptual organization.


The Perceptual Organization (PO) Task

- The PO Task taps into visual processing of form and texture under varying degrees of noise
- This task has been found to be problematic for pathological populations such as Schizophrenia, indicating problems in processing of visual form (Kurylo et al., 2017)
- Each stimulus consisted of a 20 x 20 array of elements that indicate general organization in either Horizontal or Vertical orientation
- Degree of organization was varied across stimuli: 100%, 90%, 80%, 70%, where the percentages indicate the proportion of elements that conform to the dominant orientation alignment
- We used three kinds of visual elements that defined orientation:
 Color, Luminance, and Gabor


Color Stimuli



Luminance Stimuli



Gabor Stimuli



Behavioral Response

- Participants responded with one of two key presses indicating whether they thought the orientation of the Stimulus was Horizontal (H) or Vertical (V)
- Accuracy and Reaction Time data were collected on this response

Pupil Dilation and Saccadic Response Measures

- Pupil Dilation was recorded by calculating difference scores between the first pupil diameter data capture of each trial and the last capture prior to response
- Saccadic Response was calculated by collecting eyemovement data in the horizontal or vertical direction after filtering out jitter that was not part of an overall saccade

Independent

Variables

- 1. IV 1: Percentage of Organization
 - a. 100% (Easiest)
 - b. 90%
 - c. 80%
 - d. 70% (Hardest)
- 2. IV 2: Task Category
 - a. Color (Easiest)
 - b. Luminance
 - c. Gabor (Hardest)

Dependent Variables

- 1. Reaction Time
- 2. Accuracy
- 3. Pupil Dilation
- 4. Horizontal and Vertical Saccadic Eye Movement

Methodology


Equipment: 2 eye trackers used: Tobii T60 (Pupil Dilation) and Gazepoint GP3 (Saccades)

Participants: Tobii: n = 26 (14 males, mean age: 26.5 yrs); Gazepoint: n = 36 (8 males, mean age: 23.5 yrs.) All participants had normal/corrected vision, with no color blindness or history of visual deficits.


Task Design:

- 6 Blocks of 40 trials (3 type x 2 orientation x 4 levels)
- Stimuli appeared for 417 msec, preceded by a white fixation cross
- Participants responded by pressing keys to indicate stimulus orientation
- Accuracy and reaction time were recorded

Reaction time across levels



Accuracy across levels




Error bars: 95% CI


Summary of Behavioral Data


- Accuracy was significantly correlated with task difficulty across levels and stimulus types (F (3, 31) = 167.8, p < 0.001)
- Reaction Time was also significantly correlated with task difficulty across levels and stimulus types (F (3, 31) = 21.3, p < 0.001)

Dilation - across 3 types





$$(F(3, 25) = 15, p < 0.001, \eta 2 = .64)$$







Pupil Dilation - Color










Pupil Dilation – Luminance









Pupil Dilation - Gabor







Summary of Pupil Dilation Effects

- For all stimulus types (color, luminance and gabor), pupil dilation (difference between initial and final diameter per trial) increased linearly with task difficulty (F (3, 25) = 15, p < 0.001, η 2 = .64)
- Vertical and Horizontal Stimuli did not differ in amount of Pupil Dilation
- These data confirm the availability of pupil dilation as a biomarker of processing load in this task

Saccadic Exploration of Stimuli by Condition

Stimulus


- Stimulus Type (Color, Luminance, Gabor)
- Difficulty Level (100, 90, 80, 70)

Response

- Horizontal Saccades (total horizontal saccadic eye movement)
- Vertical Saccades (total vertical saccadic eye movement)


Saccade and Movement Direction Definition

 Data points were categorized as saccades if either velocity on x-axis or y-axis were beyond 80th percentile of mean velocity (rate of change in X,Y coordinates) for each participant




Eye movement on y axis for a sample trial: Dots are highlighted in green if their velocity exceeds 80th percentile. If abs $(tan (Vy/Vx)) > tan (60) \rightarrow Vertical Movement$; If abs $(tan (Vy/Vx)) < tan (30) \rightarrow Horizontal Movement (velocity-based threshold algorithm: Salvucci & Goldberg, 2000)$


Horizontal Saccades: Color Stimuli by Difficulty Level




Horizontal Saccades: Luminance Stimuli by Difficulty Level




Horizontal Saccades: Gabor Stimuli by Difficulty Level




Vertical Saccades: Color Stimuli by Difficulty Level



Vertical Saccades: Luminance Stimuli by Difficulty Level



Vertical Saccades: Gabor Stimuli by Difficulty Level



Summary: Saccade Results

- Horizontal saccadic activity was positively correlated with task difficulty in all conditions for stimulus type and orientation (F (3, 33) = 11, p < 0.001)
- Vertical saccades showed correlations with task difficulty only in the color condition
- CONCLUSION: Only horizontal saccadic activity is a reliable biomarker of visual processing in this task.

General Conclusions

- The present experiment reveals that, along with accuracy and RT, pupil dilation and horizontal saccadic activity both correlate with level of organization (task difficulty) in the Perceptual Organization Task
- These results confirm that there are reliable biomarkers of visual processing in perceptual organization that could be used to segregate component processes to identify the locus of PO deficits in populations with visual disorders such as Schizophrenia, Concussion and others
- These results are currently being supplemented with an ERP study using the same stimuli and an MMN protocol

References

Kurylo, D. D., Waxman, R., Kidron, R., & Silverstein, S. M. (2017). Visual training improves perceptual grouping based on basic stimulus features. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 79(7), 2098-2107.

Salvucci, D. D., & Goldberg, J. H. (2000, November). Identifying fixations and saccades in eye-tracking protocols. In *Proceedings of the 2000 symposium on Eye tracking research & applications* (pp. 71-78). ACM.