

INTRODUCTION: Feature-based attention to color (FBAC) is facilitation or suppression of color processing throughout the regardless of spatial location. In humans and rhesus monkeys, steady-state visual evoked potentials, fMRI and single-u revealed that these effects are exhorted in the visual cortex.

All previous studies have analyzed the endogenous effect while the exogenous attentional modality -which would operat ms window- remains unexplored. In addition, evidence f attention paradigm revealed that, depending on whether color relevant feature for a task (i.e., contingent or not with endogend task goals), irrelevant color singletons within the 300 ms window capture or not spatial attention.

The aims of the present two experiments were three: first, existence of FBAC within the exogenous window; second, whether this effect is exogenous and/ or depends on endogen and third, to evaluate whether stimulus-onset asynchrony be distractor and the target modulates this FBAC effect.

EXPERIMENT 1: Behavioral Task

- . Participants: 54 right-handed (41 women), age 18 to 31, task . Independent Variables:
 - . Task: Symbol (not contingent), Color (contingent)
 - . Stimulus Onset Asynchrony (SOA): –200, 0, 200 ms
 - . Target-distractor congruence: Congruent, Neutral, Incongru



Trial Sequences

Procedure. Participants perfo and the Symbol Task in diffe days) in a counterbalanced or performed in silent and dark CIBPsi lab. Participants res chinstrap and the head on a they were instructed to fix the of the screen and to answer a possible.

> **Trials and Stimul** task was compo trials per cond randomly pres were instructed corresponding ke symbol of the st and Symbol task stimulus had or colors (red, green symbols ('#', '%', ' balanced for condition.

Distractors. Colo distractors. They the visual periph four possible st used to genera incongruent con was used for the

| Electr | ophysiologica | I E |
|--|---|---|
| | Capture by Co | lor |
| ¹ CIBPsi, F | Cipriani, G. A.¹, Car acultad de Psicología, UdelaR; ² Facultad | boni d de Psi |
| s defined as the ne visual field, evidence from Init recordings | Behavioral re Color Task RT (ms) 850 Congruent Incongruent Neutral 800 *** | esults (Symb 850 800 |
| t of attention, te within a 300 rom a spatial or is or is not a ously attended ow were able to | $\begin{array}{c} 750 \\ 700 \\ p<.05(*) \\ p<.01(**) \\ p<.001(***) \\ 600 \\ -200 \\ 0 \\ COA \\$ | 750 - 700 - I 650 - 600 - |
| , to assess the to determine nous attention; etween a color | Fig. 2. Reaction Time Results. For RT and accuracy and <i>Congruence</i> as fixed effects; and <i>Trial</i> and <i>Participant</i> as with an identity link function was selected, while for the used. For each model an analysis of deviance was performed and adjusted by the Holm-Bonferroni proceewas calculated to determine the evidence for the null (ne GLMM, both main and interaction effects were non-significant contrasts supported the null hypothesis. | lyses, two random ef accuracy GL rmed. For e dure. For ea o effect) or gnificant. F |
| | EXPERIMENT 2: Bel | naviora |
| ruent | Participants: 41 right-handed (30 w Independent Variables: Task: Symbol (not contingent), Color Target-distractor congruence: Corr EEG recording: 64 channels | vomen), or (conti ngruent, |
| Formed the Color Task Forent days (M = 7.5 Inder. Both tasks were conditions within the sted the chin on a head support, while eir eyes on the center is fast and accurate as I. Each computerized sed of 432 trials (48 | | + 1700ms + 0/500 m |
| dition), which were ented. Participants d to press the ey to the color or the timulus in the Color ks, respectively. The ne of four possible , blue, or yellow) and \$', or '&'), which were | EOG recording: 2 electrodes for vertical EOG 2 electrodes for horizontal EOG Behavioral Results (Exp. 2) RT (ms) Accurac | ٦ cc betwee |
| or rings were used as / were presented in hery (9.6°). The same timulus colors were ate congruent and hditions, while grey neutral condition. 11); MICINN, Spain | 750 - *** 700 - *** - * | uent Incong |

Evidence of Contingent Attentional r Distractors ni, A.¹, Kessel, D.²

Psicología, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

ts (Exp. 1)



two GLMMs were proposed with Task, SOA, and m effects. For the RT GLMM, an inverse gaussian cy GLMM a binomial with a logit link function was For each significant effect, post-hoc t tests were or each non-significant contrast, a Bayes Factor t) or the alternative hypothesis. For the accuracy nt. For the RT GLMM, all Bayes Factors for the

oral Task + EEG

en), age 18 to 31, task naive

ontingent) nt, Neutral, Incongruent



Trial Sequence

Fig. 3. Trial Sequence. Trial sequence with a -200 SOA. 70 trials per condition were presented (210 in total).



Symbo

Fig. 4. Behavioral Results. The same analyses as for Experiment 1 were carried out, without the SOA factor. Bayes Factors also supported the null hypothesis for all non-significant contrasts.

N1parocc: PO4, O2, POz, Oz, Iz, O1, PO3 ERP Results (Exp. 2) 12 Congruent **EEG preprocessing.** The continuous EEG signal was $10 \parallel - - - Incongruent$ re-referenced offline to the nose tip and bandpass filtered between 0.01 and 30 Hz. Trials with incorrect responses and RT outliers were deleted. It was segmented in epochs of -400 ms pre-target to 800 ms post-target, which were baseline corrected from -400 to -200 ms (note that the distractor appeared at -200 ms pre-target). Eye movements were removed using ICA, combined → C > N * with visual inspection of the epochs. A maximum of 10% of channels were interpolated in case of -200 200 P2front: FC3 FC1 F1 F3 AF3 AFz Fz FCz AF4 F2 F4 FC2 FC4 P2centpar: C1 C3 CP1 CP3 P1 Cz Cpz Pz C2 C4 CP2 CP4 P2 12 Congruent 10 Incongruent C > | * C > N *** C > N *** I > N ** I > N * P3parocc: P2 P4 PO4 O2 Pz POz Oz P1 P3 PO3 O1 N2parocclat: P7 P9 PO7 PO8 P10 P8 Col-Cong Col-Neu ---- Col-Inc - Symb-Cong Symb-Neu - Symb-Inc Color: C > | *** N > | ** Color: C > N *** Color: I > N *** Symbol: C > N * 200

presenting artifacts.





CONCLUSION: Our behavioral results of both experiments show the existence of an attentional capture by color distractors only for the Color Task. Hence, this capture is contingent on task goals ruling out an exogenous FBAC explanation. This capture is maximum when the distractor is presented 200 ms before the target, and it is reflected as a facilitation and interference by congruent and incongruent distractors, respectively.

At the neural level, after target onset, parieto-occipital N1 reflected an early facilitation effect of congruent distractors. Subsequently, frontal and centro-parietal P2 reflected a color effect of distractors. In line with the contingent capture, parieto-occipital N2 and P3 components reflected a color effect and the interference effect, respectively, only for the Color Task. Though, a congruent effect in N2 was also found for the Symbol Task.

In sum, these results show: 1) no evidence for early exogenous FBAC; 2) evidence for color capture and facilitation effects at intermediate latencies after target onset; 3) evidence for top-down goal contingent FBAC at later latencies.





Fig. 5. Window Analysis for ERP Components. A window analysis of ERP component amplitudes was performed in a data driven fashion. Component amplitude was determined as the maximum (positive) or the minimum (negative) value within the temporal interval around the peak (shown by vertical dashed black lines) for the topographies, where the components were most pronounced. Subsequently, an ANOVA was performed for each component with Task and Congruence as fixed effects and Participant as a random effect. Only components with significant main/ interaction effects and significant adjusted post-hoc t tests are shown (significant differences within the boxes).