The representation of micro-valences in high-level visual processing for everyday images Lauren Chan & Elissa M. Aminoff

Affective Valence and Micro-Valences

- Affective valence \rightarrow preference towards an object (like, dislike, etc.)
 - E.g. picture of a knife would have a strongly negative affective valence while picture of a dog would have strongly positive





- Strongly affective content can influence perception and behavioral choices
 - E.g. attention, time course of processing, field of view, etc. (Muller, Andersen, & Kail, 2008; Schmitz, Rosa, & Anderson, 2009)
 - Can be considered a high level visual property
- Affective valence may exist on a continuum depending on how strongly emotional the content is
 - Mundane or emotionally neutral stimuli may also have valences \rightarrow micro-valences (Lebrecht et al., 2012)



negative micro-valence mage taken from Lebrecht et al., 2012

• BIG QUESTION: Are micro-valences encoded in seemingly neutral real world images within the ventral visual stream?

Ventral Visual Stream – LOC and PPA

• Ventral visual stream \rightarrow primarily for recognizing shapes, objects, and scenes





positive micro-valence

- Lateral Occipital Complex (LOC) \rightarrow preferentially activates when viewing objects rather than unrecognizable textures or patterns • Parahippocampal Place Area (PPA) \rightarrow preferentially activates when
- viewing scenes rather than faces or objects

Image taken from Behrmann & Plaut, 2013

Fordham University

Materials & Methods

- BOLD5000 fMRI data taken from Chang et al., 2019
- Participants viewed 5000 emotionally neutral images for 1 second each and were asked to provide their affective rating of each image
 - 1=like
 - 2=neutral
 - 3=dislike
- ROIs included visual processing areas (both hemispheres): Early Visual, Lateral Occipital Complex (LOC), Parahippocampal Place Area (PPA), Occipital Place Area (OPA), & Retrosplenial Cortex (RSC)





Image taken from Chang et al., 2019

Micro-Valences in BOLD Response

- Significant main effect of response (*F*(2,1788) = 13.43, *p* < .01)
 - BOLD response was larger in Lateral Occipital Complex (LOC) an object-selective region, for universally liked pictures
 - BOLD response was larger in Parahippocampal Place Area (PPA), a scene-selective region, for universally disliked pictures
 - Excluded OPA and RSC (equivalent category-selective regions) in order to focus on ventral stream regions



• Does this trend reflect micro-valent affect along a continuum of more object-focused to more scene-like for emotionally neutral images?



COCO Imageset Analyses

- HYPOTHESIS: Images that are more "object-like" elicit more positive micro-valences while images that are more "scene-like" elicit more negative micro-valences
- Isolated COCO imageset \rightarrow more equal numbers of objects and scenes • Reduce confounding effect of using different image sources
- Categorized 2000 COCO images into objects (*n*=340) and scenes
- $(n=1059) \rightarrow \text{ImageType}$





• Randomly sampled 100 stimuli from each condition; over 10 iterations:

• Significant difference found on ratings between objects and scenes, *t*(99) = -2.50, *p* < 0.05

• ImageType x Response \rightarrow scene-categorized images on average were more disliked than object-categorized images



• Then looked at interaction between affective response and imgtype on BOLD response in ROIs for each participant





• No significant interaction found between response, ROI, and imgtype • Trend: disliked scenes elicited more activity than liked scenes on average in both hemispheres PPA

- Trend not found in LOC
- Regardless, suggests that affect may be represented at least in scene-selective regions even for emotionally neutral images

- valences
- valence





We would like to thank Katherine Farber for her initial data analyses and organization of the BOLD5000 fMRI dataset.

Discussion

• For emotionally neutral images, object-focused images seem to elicit more positive micro-valences while scenes elicit more negative micro-

> • Affect is a component of high-level visual processing even for everyday, mundane images

• Explanations \rightarrow proximity may influence our perception of the object's

• Mere exposure effect \rightarrow more familiarity with object images compared to scene images

CURRENT BEHAVIORAL FOLLOW-UP

• Participants (n = 25) viewed emotionally neutral images and provided affective rating

• 1 = like, 2 = neutral, 3 = dislike

• 120 images, each with an object condition and scene condition





• Split stimuli into blocks to reduce repetition effect

 First block → images 1-60 as scenes, images 61-120 as objects • Second block \rightarrow images 61-120 as scenes, images 1-60 as objects

• Switched order of blocks for different versions (V1 & V2)



Block	t	df	p-value
1	-4.891	118	0.000
2	3.191	118	0.002

• Significant difference found between mean ratings in both blocks; however, **OPPOSITE results** from BOLD5000 analyses

• Objects were rated significantly more disliked than scenes • Different results may be due to lower resolution of object condition images – may modulate micro-valent affective responses

• Affect still seems to be an important aspect of high level vision and may be represented in visual regions in the brain, even on a microvalent level for emotionally neutral content

Acknowledgements