

An ERP investigation of the effects of acute stress on memory formation and judgments of learning (JOLs)

Felicia M. Chaisson*, Lauryn M. Burleigh, Steven G. Greening, Heather D. Lucas **Department of Psychology, Louisiana State University**

*Correspondence to fchais1@lsu.edu

Exp 1 - Acute anxiety impaired memory formation; No impact on JOLs **Background and Hypotheses** Acute anxiety impairs top-down control over attentional processes¹, which • The threat manipulation led to higher skin conductance and worse recall, but JOLs were not affected. **Physiological Anxiety: Memory Accuracy: JOL Magnitude:** • Acute anxiety may also impair metamemory processes, such as judgments **Skin Conductance Words Recalled** Mean Rating relatior • We used the threat-of-shock paradigm to test the hypotheses that acute .50 alled 10Г .40 (Su) 9 20 .30 15 .20 .10 Mo 3. Have opposite effects on stimulus- vs task-driven ERP components: U Jrop .05 .10 .00 .00 2. N400⁵ and late frontal positivity⁶ (LFP) will be attenuated under threat Threat Safe Safe Safe Threat Safe Threat Threat Exp. 2 – Acute anxiety during study impacted N400 and late frontal ERPs **Experimental Design** • Study phase ERPs showed larger N400s and smaller late frontal ERPs during threat vs safe blocks. • 144 neutral nouns presented in 2 blocks of 72, each followed by free recall • Unlike in Experiment 1, however, threat in Experiment 2 did not lead to worse subsequent free recall. Assignment of words and blocks to conditions counterbalanced • Threat did not impact the amplitudes of early ERPs, specifically N100 and P200.

- may impair memory formation for threat-neural information²
- of learning (JOLs)
- anxiety during encoding will:
- 1. impair subsequent memory for neutral words
- 2. decrease the magnitude and accuracy of trial-by-trial JOLs
- 1. N100³ and P200⁴ will be enhanced under threat

Stimuli and Procedures:

- Trial-by-trial judgments of learning (JOLs) made on 1-6 scale
- Tonic skin conductance levels (SCLs) recorded to measure anxiety
- **Exp. 1** (N = 40 healthy adults, 29 F, mean age = 20)
- 36 words presented in 48-tp font; 36 in 18-pt font₁
- Shocks delivered randomly on 12 threat trials (excluded from analysis)
- **Exp. 2** (N = 28 healthy adults, 17 F, mean age = 20)
- Continuous EEG recorded during study from 32 channels
- All words presented in 35 point font
- Shocks delivered randomly on 8 threat trials (excluded from analysis)



threat and font size were significant.

anxiety on the use of font size as a cue to judgments of learning. No interactions involving



• Exp 1 demonstrated that acute threat can impact memory, but not metamemory, for neutral words. • Exp 2 showed that threat modulates ERPs (N400 and LPC) related to semantic processing, suggesting that threat-induced anxiety may specifically disrupt the use of deep, elaborative encoding strategies^{5,6}. • Additional research is necessary to understand why threat did not reduce recall accuracy in Exp 2.

1. Basanovic & Macleod, 2017, Cognition and Emotion 2. Guez et al., 2016, Frontiers in Psychology 3. Shackman et al., 2011, Journal of Neuroscience 4. Laretzaki et al., 2010, Journal of Psychopharmacology 5. Schleepen et. al, 2014, International Journal of Psychology 6. Mangels et al., 2001, Cognitive Brain Research



Summary and Conclusions

