# **Catching the Visual System in Action: A Modified Event-Related Potential Paradigm for Dynamic Stimuli** JCSan Diego Shan Zhang Department of Cognitive Science, University of California, San Diego

## Introduction

- The body movements or biological motion (BM) performed by other living entities has both ecological and sociological significance. Point-light displays are commonly used to study biological motion in vision research.
- To investigate the timing of how the brain processes such a dynamic stimulus requires a temporally sensitive method.
- Event-Related Potential (ERP) paradigm, obtained by timelocking and averaging EEG epochs to specific events can be used to study the study the temporal mechanisms of BM perception (Hirai et al., 2003, 2005; Hirai et al. 2009; Krakowski et al., 2011). However, time-locking at the onset of a temporally-unfolding stimulus does not fully capture its dynamic nature.
- We aimed to develop a variant of the ERP method that can still help us track BM processing with temporal precision, perhaps even at the frame level.

### **Componentry and Latencies**

- spERP showed similar componentry to the conventional ERP (time-lock to the onset frame), including typical P1, N1, P2, and N2.
- Overall, spERP components had smaller amplitudes and earlier peak onsets.



Figure 2. ERPs averaged across conditions and subjects using different event-lock. Upper: time-lock to the display onset frame; Lower: time-lock to the pulse frames.



### N1 <u>Component</u>

- Analysis: 2 (Stimulus type: BioMotion, ScramMotion) by 3 (Electrode location: Left, Mid, Right) repeated measure ANOVA
- No main effect of stimulus type and electrode location. No significant interaction.





Figure 4. N1 A.. Stimulus type: (Biological and Scrambled); B. Stimulus type at left, middle, and right electrode locations (O1, Oz, O2)

	1000
New Paradigm: the	Sparse P
<ul> <li>Conventional ERP on dynamic stimulus (Fig 1a.)</li> <li>Time-locking at the first frame of the display, treat the dynamic stimulus as if it's a static a. picture.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>One trial will contribute to at most one single ERP.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Analysis could only be performed at the trial level.</li> </ul>	Event 1
<ul> <li>Sparse Pulse ERP on dynamic stimulus (Fig 1b.)</li> <li>Changing the contrast of the stimulus at b. individual frames ("pulse frames") could elicit</li> </ul>	
VEPSs at different time points along with the unfolding of the stimulus temporally.	Pulse 1
<ul> <li>One trial of display could produce multiple</li> <li>ERPs, increasing SNR.</li> </ul>	<b>Figure 1.</b> Still frames paradigms. <b>a</b> . Conve (i.e., one event with within the PL-BM st
<ul> <li>Analysis could be performed at frame-level.</li> </ul>	

# **Experimental Results**

P1 Component

• Analysis: 2 (Stimulus type: BioMotion, ScramMotion) by 3 (Electrode location: Left, Mid, Right) repeated measure ANOVA at occipital and occipital parietal sites, biological motion pulse frames create a significantly larger P1 compared to scrambled motion. (F(1,101) = 15.56; p = <0.001).

• Main effect of electrode location and interaction between stimulus

component amplitudes of Biological and Scrambled Motion conditions and their difference during the corresponding time window.

frames.



help with data collection, technical assistance, or feedback.