Frequent, longitudinal sampling reveals learning-related changes in working memory substrates

Jacob A. Miller  *UC Berkeley*

Anastasia Kiyonaga  *UC San Diego*

Arielle Tambini  *UC Irvine*

Mark D'Esposito  *UC Berkeley*

Berkeley UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA — Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute

jacob_miller@berkeley.edu

CNS 2020 VIRTUAL

Discrepancies in WM substrates across species and studies

non-human primate (NHP) electrophysiology

- large amount of training (> 10^4 trials)
- single-unit resolution
- **PFC** activity encodes item-specific information in WM

Leavitt et al., *TiNS*, 2017

Constantinidis et al., *JNeuro*, 2018

NHP vs human WM training

Birman & Gardner, *Nature Neuro*, 2016



human neuroimaging

- small amount of training (< 10^2 trials)
- voxel-level resolution (2.5 mm, ~LFP)
- **distributed**, sensory and category-selective regions encode item-specific information in WM

Christophel et al., *TiCS*, 2018

Serences, *Vision Research*, 2016

goal: *train human participants like NHPs (with extensive behvioral training) to reconcile debate over WM substrates*

Longitudinal training of memory representations

Stimulus set:

18 unique fractals per subject

- 3 human participants with at-home behavioral training every other day
- 24 functional MRI (fMRI) sessions / subject across 3 months
- fMRI scans: *stimulus localizers, sequence learning task, WM task, resting-state*

Sequence learning - serial reaction time task



- sequences (4 total) occur with 0.75 probability
  - *12 sequence stimuli, 6 non-sequence stimuli per subject*
- each stimulus associated with 1 correct response
- 1 unique stimulus presentation per block

Working memory task

- 1 unique stimulus presentation per block

fMRI session: *18 blocks (3 scanning runs)*

at-home training: *26 blocks*

fMRI session: *24 trials * 4 blocks*

at-home training: *24 trials * 2 blocks*

training / scanning calendar



**new stimuli introduced, present data includes only first 17 sessions before new stimuli*

WM behavior improves for trained stumli

accuracy

mixed linear model

session (1 -> 17) x training (trained vs novel) interaction:
β = 0.01, p = 0.006

response time (ms)

mixed linear model

session (1 -> 17) x training (trained vs novel) interaction:
β = -22.7, p < 0.001



Cortical activity patterns change across training

WM delay activity decreases overall, but spreads across PFC

high delay activity voxels

voxels within ***dorsal rostral PFC*** **decrease** activity with training

all voxels

high proportions of **all** voxels in *PFC* **increase** activity with training

dorsal rostral PFC delay activity



mixed linear model

session (1 -> 17) main effect:
β = -0.03, p = < 0.001

what % of voxels in each ROI show an increase or decrease in activity across sessions?



functional MRI (fMRI) analyses and methods

prefrontal cortex (PFC) — regions of interest (ROIs) — parietal and visual cortex



univariate activity

- session-level general-linear model (GLMs)
- time-series convolved with canonical HRF
- separate regressors for trained vs novel stimuli
- mean activity from each ROI and contrast extracted from each session at t > 2.5

representational similarity (RSA)

- single-trial activity from least-squares-all (LSA) GLMs for each separate run
- between-run correlations calculated for each individual stimulis within each session
- data cleaned with multivariate noise decomposition (Walther et al., *Neuroimage*, 2016)

Summary

- Frequent WM training increases accuracy and decreases response times for trained stimuli across learning
- While delay activity decreases with training in dorsal rostral PFC regions that were highly active early on, ***more*** voxels are recruited and increase their activity with training across all of PFC
- Different PFC ROIs develop item-level selectivity (similar activity patterns for item sequences) versus task-level selectivity (all trained WM stimuli)

Selectivty of PFC delay activity changes across training

example RSA matrix



pattern similarity emerges between stimuli within trained sequences and across all **trained** stimuli

ventral mid-lateral PFC
*(similarity to stimuli in **same sequence**)*



β = 0.001, p = 0.017

dorsal caudal PFC
*(similarity to **different** trained stimuli)*



β = 9 x 10^{-4}, p = 0.003

WM encoding activity decreases across cortex with training

early visual cortex



mixed linear model

session (1 -> 17) main effect:
β = -0.11, p = < 0.001

IPS1



mixed linear model

session (1 -> 17) main effect:
β = -0.12, p = < 0.001

*** all ROIs decrease encoding activity across training**

(all p < 0.05, FDR correciton)

Data, acknowledgements, funding

- complete dataset to be made openly available upon publication
- Wheeler Brain Imaging Center (BIC) at UC Berkeley for longitudinal scanning availability. Ian Ballard , Regina Lapate, and Jason Scimeca for analysis feedback
- Funding - JAM and MD: R01 MH063901, AK: F32 MH111204, AT: F32 MH106280