# Subvocal rehearsal of structured phrases in fMRI reveals syntax-specific activation in the posterior STS and production-specific activity in the pars opercularis

WHOLE BRAIN ANALYSES

Evaluating Morpho-Syntactic Aspects of the Neural Working Memory Circuit

<sup>1</sup>University of South Carolina, Communication Sciences and Disorders

# Emily Wood<sup>1</sup> & William Matchin<sup>1</sup>

## INTRODUCTION

- Working memory (WM) supports sentence processing<sup>[5]</sup>
- Frontal-temporal loops support WM articulatory rehearsal<sup>[1,2,4]</sup>
- Syntax: hierarchical linguistic structure, above and beyond speech-level (phonological) structure
- Question: is neural WM circuit differentiated by linguistic structure/content?
- Syntax-specific WM system thought to be comprised of pSTS & IFG, pars triangularis<sup>[6]</sup>
- These regions, and IFG, pars opercularis, implicated in syntactic processing<sup>[6-9]</sup>
- Goal of present study: localize syntactic WM system by examining rehearsal of morpho-syntactic information beyond speech-level (phonological) and word-level (lexical) rehearsal

### METHODS

- 20 subjects, healthy, right-handed, native speakers of English, no history of neurological disfunction
- 3 (stimulus content) x 3 (task) design, 30 trials/condition: <sup>[1,2]</sup>
- Stimulus content:
  - *Phonological:* meaningless speech sequences
  - Lexical: words, no syntax



#### **ROI ANALYSES**



#### DISCUSSION

#### **No strong evidence** for selective syntactic WM circuit.

- *Syntactic:* jabberwocky phrases
- Task:
  - *Perceive+rest:* perceive one stimulus, then blank screen
- *Perceive+rehearse:* perceive one stimulus, subvocally repeat 3 times
- Continuous perceive: perceive three different stimuli
- fMRI data and anatomical data preprocessed and statistically analyzed using AFNI, using standard procedures <sup>[3]</sup>



- SMA and other systems: deal with prosodic demands of rehearsing structured material relative to unstructured material?
- Behavioral data indicate increased difficulty in syntactic rehearsal condition, consistent with this.

**Clear distinction** between pars opercularis, pars triangularis, and pSTS:

- *Pars opercularis:* main effect of rehearsal > perception, syntactic > lexical perception effect + *lack of* syntactic > phonological perception effect suggests phonological demands, not syntactic processing
- *Pars triangularis:* weak effect of syntax > phonology consistent with syntactic processing, but need to increase strength and require subjects to rehearse
- *pSTS:* strongly implicated in syntactic processing Future studies:
- Longer rehearsal periods to increase statistical strength of analysis
- Ensure that subjects rehearse syntactic representations, not just phonological ones

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Hickok, G., Buchsbaum, B., Humphries, C., & Muftuler, T. (2003). Auditory-motor interaction revealed by fMRI: speech, music, and working memory in area Spt. Journal of cognitive neuroscience, 15(5), 673-682.
- 2. Venezia, J. H., Fillmore, P., Matchin, W., Isenberg, A. L., Hickok, G., & Fridriksson, J. (2016). Perception



**Behavioral Data** 

Correct

Attempted

- drives production across sensory modalities: A network for sensorimotor integration of visual speech. *Neurolmage*, *126*, 196-207.
- 3. Matchin, W., Liao, C. H., Gaston, P., & Lau, E. (2019). Same words, different structures: an fMRI investigation of argument relations and the angular gyrus. Neuropsychologia, 4(125), 116-128.
- 4. Buchsbaum, B. R., D'Esposito, M. (2008). The search for the phonological s tore: from loop to convolution. Journal of cognitive neuroscience, 20(5), 762-778.
- 5. Baddeley, A. (2003). Working memory and language: An overview. Journal of Communication Disorders, 36(3), 189-208.
- 6. Matchin (2018). A neuronal retuning hypothesis of sentence-selectivity in Broca's area. *Psychonomic* Bulletin & Review, 25(5), 1682-1694.
- 7. Zaccarella, E., & Friederici, A. D. (2015). Merge in the human brain: a sub-region based functional investigation in the left pars opercularis. Frontiers in psychology, 6, 1818.
- 8. Matchin, W., & Hickok, G. (2020). The cortical organization of syntax. Cerebral Cortex, 30(3), 1481-1498.
- 9. Pallier, C., Devauchelle, A. D., & Dehaene, S. (2011). Cortical representation of the constituent structure of sentences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(6), 2522-2527.



Go to: williammatchin.com/ publications for a PDF of the manuscript!



