

Figure 1. Olfactory stimuli for expt. 1 (left) and 2 (right).

- 2014). Here, we performed a targeted behavioral test of this hypothesis.
- of that region in visual perception of looms and olfactory disgust contexts.
- Prediction: induction of disgust will selectively affect looming TTC judgments



**Results Experiment I** 

Figure 3. We observed an increase in precision of TTC judgments for looming stimuli (as indexed by a drop in standard deviation, SD) during experience of disgust. No change in precision was observed for receding stimuli. We did not observe effects of disgust on the accuracy of TTC judgments (RT relative to TTC=0). Interaction stimulus direction x odor presence: F(1,19) = 4.87, p = .04,  $\eta_p^2 = .204$ . Large brown dots indicate estimated mean SDs.

## Methods

- Experiment 1 and 2 were identical with the exception of the administered odor.
- **Sample:** 40 naive college-aged participants (20 in each experiment).
- **Stimuli: visual** 25 looming and 25 receding 3D ball stimuli, randomly interspersed (*Fig. 4, top*) within each odor condition (100 trials total); **olfactory** - "Liquid Fart" (expt. 1) and lavender essential oil (expt. 2) (*Fig 1*.). Due to concern for lingering smell in testing room, no odor condition was always administered first.
- **Apparatus:** Visual looming and receding stimuli were presented using Vizard<sup>™</sup> software and viewed monocularly, following Billington et al. (2011). Olfactory stimuli were presented using a cotton swab. See Fig. 4, bottom, for experimental set-up.
- **Participant instructions:** Press key when ball would "hit your face" (looming condition) and when ball would "pass through the green posts" (receding condition, see *Fig. 4*, top).
- **Manipulation check** via questionnaire: Odor in expt. 1 was perceived as disgusting; odor in expt. 2 was perceived as pleasant. Intensity ratings were identical between conditions.

References: Anderson, M. L. (2014). After Phrenology: Neural reuse and the Interactive Brain. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. Billington, J., Wilkie, R. M., Field, D. T., & Wann, J. P. (2011). Neural processing of imminent collision in humans. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 278(1711), 1476–1481; Heining, M., Young, A. W., Ioannou, G., Andrew, C. M., Brammer, M. J., Gray, J. A., & Phillips, M. L. (2006). Disgusting smells activate human anterior insula and ventral striatum. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1000(1), 380–384. Kanwisher, N. (2010). Functional specificity in the human brain: A window into the functional architecture of the mind. PNAS, 107(25), 11163–70. Mahon, B. Z., & Caramazza, A. (2008). A critical look at the embodied cognition hypothesis and a new proposal for grounding conceptual content. Journal of Physiology-Paris, 102, 59e70. Malcolm, B. J., & Tallian, K. (2017). Essential oil of lavender in anxiety disorders: Ready for prime time? Mental Health Clinician, 7(4), 147–155. Uddin, L. Q., Kinnison, J., Pessoa, L., & Anderson, M. L. (2014). Beyond the Tripartite Cognition—Emotion—Interoception Model of the Human Insular Cortex. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 26(1), 16–27.

# Neural reuse in the anterior insula? Disgusting smells selectively increase precision of visual looming perception Michael Tronolone '20, Laura Styer '20, Calista Bender '20, and Matthieu de Wit Muhlenberg College Department of Neuroscience, Allentown, Pennsylvania, USA

## Introduction

The neural reuse hypothesis postulates that brain regions are typically used and reused across multiple task domains (Anderson,

• Ventral anterior insula (vAI) has been implicated in (1) time-to-collision (TTC) predictions of looming but not receding stimuli (Billington et al., 2011) and (2) during olfactory experience of **disgust** (e.g., Heining et al., 2005; Fig. 2), possibly indicating reuse





**Figure 2.** Spider plot showing activation of vAI during disgust-related tasks (adapted from Uddin et al., 2014).





**Figure 4**. Experimental set-up (bottom) and looming stimulus (top, adapted from Billington et al., 2011).



Looming

**Figure 5.** Results of Experiment 2. Unlike in Experiment 1, **no change in precision of TTC** judgments was observed as a function of odor presence in either the looming or receding conditions. We again did not observe effects of odor on accuracy of TTC judgments. Interaction stimulus direction x odor presence: F(1,19) = .006, p = .938,  $\eta_p^2$ = .000. Large purple dots indicate estimated mean SDs.

- odor did not show any effect on precision (Expt. 2, Fig. 5).
- stimulus.
- 2008) to account for the current selective effect.
- or eucalyptus.

**Acknowledgements:** Jac Billington and John Wann are thanked for sharing their TTC paradigm. Michelle Rajan '21 (the Vizard Wizard) is thanked for her assistance in editing the code. Participants are thanked for their time. Visitors of the 2019 Lehigh Valley Society for Neuroscience undergraduate research conference are thanked for their helpful comments on previous poster presentations of this data.





## **Experiment II**

Uddin et al. (2014) also identified olfaction more generally as a source of AI activation (*Fig. 2*). In Experiment 2 we used a pleasant, non-disgusting stimulus to assess whether the effect of Expt. 1 was due to the experience of disgust specifically, or to the presence of an olfactory stimulus more generally.

### Discussion

Summary of results: Exposure to a disgusting odor led to increased precision for looming but not receding TTC judgments (Expt. 1, Fig. 3), while a pleasant

Expt. 2 suggests that the increase in TTC judgment precision for looming stimuli seen in Expt. 1 was specifically related to the presence of a disgusting

**Speculation:** the presence of a disgusting stimulus resulted in **facilitation** of looming TTC judgments due to overlap in neural activation patterns (Billington et al., 2011; Heining et al., 2006; Uddin et al., 2014). This overlap may have caused an increase in activation levels in vAI, readying the region for its involvement in the looming TTC judgments, akin to a "priming" effect.

We argue that the **neural reuse** perspective (Anderson, 2014) aligns more closely with these results than **functional specialization**/modularity perspectives (e.g., Kanwisher, 2010), which would presumably have to postulate the presence of spreading activation (e.g., Mahon & Caramazza,

**Future** neuroimaging **studies** are needed to verify our priming hypothesis. A further future direction is to assess whether the lack of an effect in Expt. 2 was due to the anxiolytic properties of lavender (Malcolm & Tallian, 2017), for example by using a non-disgusting, yet arousing, olfactory stimulus such as mint