

High-level neural categorization of human voices as revealed by fast periodic auditory stimulation



Francesca M. Barbero¹, Roberta P. Calce¹, Bruno Rossion^{1,2,3}, Olivier Collignon^{1,4}

1. Institute of research in Psychology (IPSY) & Institute of Neuroscience (IoNS), University of Louvain (UCL), Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium 2. Université de Lorraine, CNRS, CRAN, F-54000 Nancy, France 3. Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Service de Neurologie, F-54000, France 2. Centre for Mind/Brain Sciences, University of Trento, Trento, Italy

Introduction

Several studies have corroborated the existence of voice preferring regions in the human brain^{1,2}. Whether this preference is driven by low level acoustic properties peculiar of voices, or whether it reflects a higher-level categorical response is still under debate.

We combined EEG recording with a Fast Periodic Auditory Stimulation (FPAS) oddball paradigm to investigate whether categorically responses

EEG - Fast auditory periodic stimulation

Sixteen participants (19-26 years) listened to three types of periodic sequences:

standard

scrambled

-

voice selectivity

control for frequency content

harmonic

control for harmonicity, pitch

Voices were presented each third sound in 60 s-long sequences

- 4 repetition per sequence type

to voices partially abstracts from some low-level acoustic features.

Orthogonal non-periodic task



Vocal sounds (55 stimuli):

- Speech and non-speech vocalizations
- Speakers of different age, sex, emotional state Non-vocal sounds (137 stimuli):
 - Naturalistic sounds (6) Instruments (32)
 - Objects (89)
- Auditory scenes (10)

250 ms-long sounds



- Stimuli as in standard sequence, frequency scrambled⁴:
 - Frequency content and temporal structure close to that of the original stimuli
 - Altered harmonicity
 - Sounds are not recognisable anymore

Harmonic sequence harmonicity centre pitch to noise ratio logscalej 25-Z40^J 20 1000 S 230 **UN** 15-[Hz uedneu 220 ency 500 10^{-10} voice non-voice voice non-voice voice non-voice

Vocal sounds (singing voices, 16 stimuli) and non-vocal sounds (instruments, 16 stimuli)^{5,6} matched for:

- Harmonicity-to-noise ratio (HNR)
- Pitch, spectral centre of gravity
- 128 ms-long sounds



Behavioral experiment

Sixteen participants (18-26) listened to short sequences (5 sounds) of the three types

- Participants had to indicate whether each sequence contained a voice or not (50% occurrences)





 \succ Our results show robust voice selective brain responses over superior temporal electrodes that cannot be explained by frequency content nor harmonicity typical of voice samples alone.

 \succ FPAS paradigm allowed us to characterize voice selective responses with a

high signal to noise ratio in a very short acquisition time (4 minutes only).

[1] Belin et al. 2000, Nature; [2] Belin et al. 2002, Cogn Brain Res; [3] Liu-Shuang et al. 2014, Neuropsychologia; [4] Dormal et al. 2018, J. Cogn. Neurosci.; [5] Agus et al. 2017, Sci. Rep.; [6] Goto et al. 2003, Proc. Int. Conf. Music Inf. Retr.

francesca.barbero@uclouvain.be