#### Abstract

Event-related potentials (ERPs) provide insight into memory's two retrieval processes: recollection and familiarity. Thirty-seven participants saw 450 images in three encoding conditions that manipulated level of processing. We then presented 750 images in an old/new recognition task, measuring accuracy and RT, for all subjects, and ERPs for nineteen. The recognition trials showed a strong negative frontal response, without a significant difference in average amplitude between hits and misses. These findings contribute to our understanding of the neural processes involved in memory retrieval.

#### Background

- **Recognition memory**, the ability to identify a previously experienced stimulus, is supported by two distinct retrieval processes known as familiarity and recollection.
- Familiarity-based recognition describes а situation in which an individual has a sense of having experienced an event previously but does not remember the details, whereas recollectionbased recognition occurs when the person can clearly remember stimulus details.
- **Event-related potential (ERP)** data, obtained by recording changes in electrical potentials from the surface of the scalp, provide valuable information about how recognition memory corresponds to these dual processes.
- The FN400 and P600 ERP components correspond to familiarity and recollection, respectively.

#### References

- Boucher, O., Chouinard-Leclaire, C., Muckle, G., Westerlund, A., Burden, M. J., Jacobson, S. W., & Jacobson, J. L. (2016). An ERP study of recognition memory for concrete and abstract pictures in school-aged children. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 106, 106–114. Fukuda, K., & Woodman, G. F. (2015). Predicting and improving recognition memory using multiple electrophysiological signals in real time. *Psychological science*, 26(7),
- 1026-1037.
- Rugg, M. D., & Curran, T. (2007). Event-related potentials and recognition memory. Trends in Cognitive Science, 11(6), 251–257.
- Xue, G. (2018). The Neural Representations Underlying Human Episodic Memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 22(6), 544–561.



# **ERP Response in Visual Recognition**

Kesha Amin, Carlos Storck-Martinez, Mengxue Kang, Kevin Ezema, Dr. Margaret Ingate, Dr. Richard J. Contrada

# Methods

- Subjects included 37 undergraduate students at Rutgers University in Piscataway, New Jersey
  - recorded
  - Eighteen students only provided behavioral data
- ERPs from the Fz, FCz, Cz, Pz, T4, T3, M1, M2, and VEOG sites were measured during both the encoding and recognition trials.
- Level of processing was manipulated within subjects. Order of conditions was counter-balanced with 150 trials in each condition.
  - question: "Is the object safe for children to play with?"
  - question: "Does the picture contain the color red?"
  - image".
- Encoding trials consisted of a central fixation point for a duration randomly varied around 1250 ms, 300 ms presentation of an image, then a blank screen for 1000 ms. Subjects used the triggers of a game controller to make their responses.
- 450 targets and 300 foils were then presented in an 'old/new' recognition task.

## Results

**Reaction Time Data** during recognition trials of targets • 3 x 2 x 3 (level of processing, outcome, order) mixed model ANOVA found no significant differences in reaction time

## **Recognition Accuracy Data**

- Depth of processing was significant [F(2,68)=50.6, p < .05, partial Eta squared=0. 60].
- The level of processing manipulation affected recognition accuracy
- Images in the 'deep' encoding condition were more likely to be recognized than those from the 'shallow' and non-specific conditions, as assessed by d'.

# **ERP Amplitude Data** during recognition trials

- Amplitudes were averaged for the periods 200 msec to 799 msec after stimulus presentation, separately for hits and misses.
- Useable signal data for 19 subjects were analyzed with a 6 x 2 (channel x outcome) ANOVA, which found channels to be significant [F(5,18)=55.6, p < .05, partial Eta squared=0.76].



A strong negative frontal response was found, which suggests that, in this study, familiarity played a greater role in visual recognition than recollection. These findings have implications for cognitive remediation in various populations.





# RUTGERS TATE UNIVERSITY

OF NEW JERSEY

#### Discussion