





Comparison of an Enzymatic Debrider with Antibiotic Ointment in the Outpatient Care of Minor Partial Thickness Burns Suzanne Mitchell, PhD, FNP-BC, CWS; Jessica Jones, RN, BSN; Julie Pena, RN, BSN; Jessica Reynolds, RN, BSN; Dhaval Bhavsar, M.D.

INTRODUCTION



Outpatient management of partial thickness burns normally involves the application of an ointment, which may contain an antibiotic and is widely used in burn care.

This clinical study has been designed to prospectively evaluate potential benefits of an enzymatic debrider in partial thickness burn wounds compared to antibiotic only treatment. Analysis of Variance comparing Time to Heal between Groups

	Time To Heal (days)	F	P value
Enzymatic	13-31	.849	.374
D 1 1			

PURPOSE

The primary purpose of this study is to compare an enzymatic debrider with a topical antibiotic ointment in the proportion healed at 3 weeks after initiation of treatment, and time to healing (in weeks).

METHODS

Convenience sample of 20 subjects, aged 19-56, with an acute thermal burn injury less than 72 hours old, and less than 10% TBSA



Debrider		
N=6		
Topical	8-81	
Antibiotic		
N=9		

- An analysis of variance comparing an enzymatic debrider and a topical antibiotic showed no *statistical* difference in time to heal (F=.849, p=374).
- * There was no *statistical* difference in burn wound closure between subjects receiving an enzymatic debrider compared to a topical antibiotic, t(13) = .677; p = .510.





Randomly assigned to Group 1 (enzymatic debrider) or Group 2 (topical antibiotic).

Proportion of subjects healed after 3 weeks of treatment and the time to heal between the two treatment groups was analyzed using ANOVA.



- In partial thickness burn wounds, results from this study do not support a *statistical* difference in burn wound closure or time to heal between an enzymatic debrider and a topical antibiotic.
- However, there is *clinical significance* with subjects receiving the enzymatic debrider healing in 2-4 weeks, compared to 1-11 weeks in the topical antibiotic group.
- Lack of *statistical significance* was due to the extremely low sample size and under-powered study.



