

## Background

High dose ascorbic acid (HDAA) infusion for acute burn resuscitation is controversial due to conflicting evidence surrounding the risks and benefits. Some literature suggests that HDAA can reduce resuscitation volumes, while other evidence suggests an increased risk of acute kidney injury (AKI). Operational concerns further complicate its use due to osmotic diuresis and interference with point-of-care blood glucose monitors. The purpose of this study is to describe the outcomes of HDAA for acute burn resuscitation from patients at a single burn center.

## Results

\*Results reported as mean

### Population (n=24)

Age, years	47.6
BMI	25.8
Male, n (%)	18 (75)
TBSA, %	49.1
Inhalation Injury, n (%)	8 (33.3)
Baseline SCr, mg/dL	1.01
Concomitant Trauma, n (%)	2 (8.3)

BMI = Body Mass Index  
TBSA = Total Burn Surface Area  
SCr = Serum Creatinine

### Outcomes

RRT, n (%)	4 (16.7)
24hr fluid total, mL/kg/TBSA	6.08
24hr UOP, mL/kg/hr	1.65
ACS, n (%)	12 (50)
Exploratory Laparotomy, n (%)	4 (16.7)
Mechanical Ventilation, days	14.2
Mortality, n (%)	13 (54.2)

RRT = Renal Replacement Therapy  
UOP = Urine Output  
ACS = Abdominal Compartment Syndrome

## Methods

Retrospective chart review of adult burn patients admitted 2016 – 2018

### Inclusion Criteria

- HDAA infusion for acute burn resuscitation  $\geq 16$  hours

### Exclusion Criteria

- Pre-existing hemodialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease
- Expired/withdrew care within 48 hours of admission

### Primary Outcome

- Incidence of renal replacement therapy within 7 days of admission

### Secondary Outcomes

- 24 hour resuscitation volume
- Incidence of ACS and exploratory laparotomy
- Duration of mechanical ventilation
- Mortality

## Conclusions

- No association between HDAA and the need for renal replacement therapy
- HDAA confounded fluid titration leading to increased risk of ACS
- High mortality rate
- No longer utilized for burn resuscitation at this center